

CANDOUR

The British Views-Letter

Founded by A. K. Chesterton in 1953 to defend National Sovereignty against the menace of International Finance
Vol. XXXIV, No. 3 Registered at the G.P.O. as a Newspaper March/April, 1983

ECU-MANIACAL HIGHWAY TO HELL

by ROSINE DE BOUNEVIALLE

A. K. Chesterton, in the 'sixties' wrote of the dual carriageway to Hell when elucidating the would-be secret collusion between Capitalism and Communism. What he wrote is still all too true, but we are perhaps more fortunate than the *Candour* readers of twenty years ago in that the "dual-way" has become more and more obviously the one-way to perdition. The dividing strip and the crash barriers have been imperceptibly removed until the two roads are seen, to all intents and purposes, to be as one — and it is equally evident to anyone sufficiently interested to look aside that the traffic on both left and right is "doing a ton" in the same direction.

How, we must ask ourselves, has the openness of this one-way traffic system been achieved without inducing in the public of the western world the adamant front presented by the American taxpayers half-a-century ago when they discovered it was their money that was being directed towards the financing of Bolshevik Russia. Banksterdom, then, had perforce to find more devious ways of nourishing the atheistic monster mid-wived by Kuhn, Loeb and Company. Today, it appears, no such obscurantism is necessary. The International Monetary Fund is everybody's friend. We are told that it is not only our positive duty but that it is also to our advantage to contribute to so laudable an institution! So much so that we have the Prime Minister of Great Britain and the President of the United States at one in announcing increases to their respective countries' commitments to the I.M.F. to the tune of billions of dollars whilst, at the same time, they bemoan the obstinacy of "the world recession", "unemployment" and "inflation" as if these were entities with lives and wills of their own. Whenever I hear some media pundit exclaiming joyfully that "inflation went down" [sic] "this month", in mind's eye I see a little girl, like Tenniel's Alice, falling oh so slowly down the extension to the rabbit hole. Plenty of time for her to pick up, examine and replace a jar of preserve from those standing on the shelves lining the wall that she is passing during her leisurely descent. In the context — mad! Yet the madness never seems to induce such expressions of outrage that it would be impossible to ignore.

After all the glories of the Iron Lady's victory in the Falklands (a somewhat unnecessary conflict, it now appears), Mrs. Thatcher was again embattled, this time in the House of Commons, defending the ethics of the loan of 150 million pounds sterling to our self-proclaimed "implacable" opponent — Argentina. She said, "The money had to be paid out to *save the international banking system from collapse*" [our italics — Ed.] In reply to protests, she insisted that Britain, as a leading I.M.F. member, "had to join the attempt to save the international system"! Consciously or sub-consciously we all know that the I.M.F. is the latest mechanism of the Jewish Money Power for smashing "lands of promise" and nourishing atheistic empires and enclaves in their stead. The Greeks had nothing on the I.M.F. when it comes to "gifts". Yet no Feet were stamped or Hat-tersleys raised to ask *why* must we save the usurious system. Mad!

Poor Raped Rhodesia

One of the International Monetary Fund's favoured clients is poor, raped Rhodesia, lately lauded in *The Observer* as Lord Carrington's one outstanding success. Says Peter Simple of *The Daily Telegraph*: "How much longer can even *The Observer* go on maintaining that the cynical and devious betrayal of Rhodesia under threat of violence was . . . an 'outstanding success'? All the news that comes out of that country . . . shows what kind of outstanding success Lord Carrington's is turning out to be. Will Rhodesia have to collapse completely . . . its people terrorised and starving before the liberal thinkers of England will admit its translation was the kind of success they would not wish upon themselves?

Well, obviously not even then, for now we hear of Her Majesty the Queen including Mexico (another I.M.F. client) in her latest tour. She is reported as expressing sympathy with Mexico's "economic plight" (!) and she assured the cheering Mexicans of Britain's desire to help being manifested in our country's participation (200 million pounds' worth) in a steel mill newly opened there. Think of our so-called Government's explanations for the emaciated state of our own steel industry — MAD!

At the time of writing, not a whisper mars the uneven progress of the sewage negotiators, for instance; and that cannot be because "the Queen can do no wrong" because the Queen in the public sphere never does anything but what she is told, and what *that* is we have straight from the mouth of the Chancellor of the Exchequer: "We have been working out ways of helping the international banking system cope with big debtor countries like Mexico and Brazil . . . I shall do all I can to keep us on the course for recovery. To avoid new inflation and beat unemployment . . ." These idiotic but complacent remarks appeared under a *Daily Express* headline "WELL DONE BRITAIN! . . . that's what they told me in Washington". Then, in a few days, off goes the monarchical mouthpiece to do her corroborative stint in Mexico. Poor little international-banking-system, another Alice-like figure, too tiny to reach the key on the glass table without eating the inflationary cakes appropriately provided for a tactical take-off! Even madder.

National Suicide

So — abroad our goods and labour go to bolster capitalist institutions designed to nourish Communist tyrannies and Marxist incompetents, whilst at home the native population is immorally but legally dispossessed, debauched and destroyed by the very politicians chosen to "do their will". All this is happening not behind closed doors or "darkly at dead of night" but on the country-wide stage under media floodlights with the co-operation, reluctant or otherwise, of probably ninety per cent of the victimized natives. When to take one's one life was still reckoned a crime, nearly all suicides were kindly judged to be of 'unsound mind'. When a nation actively or passively compasses its own demise, will history be as kind as a coroner? If it is so, we must again ask: what has made us so insane? No one has ever said: 'Whom God will destroy, He first makes mad.' It is *the gods* that do it. False ecumenism and "comparative religion" have made us obsessed with gods whose every fetish is valid to someone whose opinion "we must respect, because he is sincere".

If we had stuck to the strictures of the authoritarian God Who will have no idols before *Him* surely we would have rendered unto Caesar the things that are his, and given Caesar a kick in the teeth for thieving the things that were not his. Would the English and Celtic nations really have accepted the suicidal option by passively surrendering to the

burden of unrepayable debt, alien invasion and self-abuse in the form of sodomy and abortion, without being massively conned by the strange gods exclusively paraded before us at every stage and place of existence? By their silence our pastors have made usury respectable and baby-murder tolerable. The sodomy law had the "Archbishop" of Canterbury (Ramsey) for sponsor. Every alien in the land can be sure of clerical cossetting. Day after day and night after night, the air is thick with exhortations to "learn" from every race and religion except our own. The new morality demands that we support the whole money-lending edifice, raised by bankers alien to the very concept of national sovereignty, as the sole means of saving our Third World "brothers" from starvation. We would be "inhuman" to refuse, so runs the diktat of a freemasonry that is supranational simply because its authority is the opposite of supernatural.

The same morality says that we must not complain of or resent the abuse of our customs and the takeover of our homes and land by those same antipathetic Third Worlders because they are all our brothers in this "natural" religion and we must, therefore, love them better than ourselves. This "religion" is not "brand conscious". It can appear under any name from Capitalism with its temples in banks, corporation H.Q.s and stock exchanges to Cricket with its Hell in South Africa. Rastafarians, Transcendental-meditationists, Moonies and liberal Christians of all denominations are all welcome to "do their bit" towards establishing mankind in the New International Order. When the multiplicity of gods have made enough men mad, it is evident that murder and sodomy become the final expression of the strange divinities' choice which it is blasphemy to criticize and fatal to forbid.

Sheer horror should make those of us who, by the grace of God, are still sane and would preserve that mental state arm and re-arm ourselves against the prevailing religious blackmail by publicly denouncing as anti-Christian, and therefore non-sensical, all "positive discrimination", "Christian Aids", "peace movements" and "muti-racial-faith-cultural teaching" as religious under-and-over-toned traps for unwary worshippers; mere substitutes for the One and Only Truth that will keep us all sane as well as free.

If we cannot remove such sentimental clobber, we can at least ignore it — living and teaching our young to live in a peace "the gods" can never give. We might even find the One World traffic on a U-turn one day.

ST. GEORGE, PATRIOTISM AND CHRISTIAN VIRTUE

by ANTHONY COONEY

Patriotism is an obligation of justice. What in theology is called "pietas" is also, quite apart from Christian virtue, a natural obligation. Man's first duty, in justice, is to worship God and his second duty is to reverence his parents. By natural extension this duty of filial piety embraces his kith and kin and his native land.

The opponents of Patriotism, the self-haters, who rationalize their estrangement and rootlessness in a vacuous internationalism, take delight in quoting Dr. Johnson's famous remark "Patriotism is the last refuge of the scoundrel." They would perhaps find some support in the remark if Dr. Johnson had said "Patriotism is the *first* refuge of the scoundrel," but as it is, the epigram emphasizes the nobility of Patriotism. The scoundrel, driven from refuge to refuge, finally pretends to the nobility of love of country, knowing that few will doubt his sincerity — hypocrisy is the tribute which vice pays to virtue. Of more interest is the question "What is the *first* refuge of the scoundrel?" A bogus love of an amorphous "Mankind" perhaps?

Noblest Of Virtues

Even for pagans patriotism was the noblest of virtues. None were despised more than the traitor, none have acquired greater infamy than he who sold the pass at Thermopylae and none greater fame than Leonidas and his three hundred Spartans whose epitaph still stands beside the narrow road:

"Go stranger, tell Sparta that here we lie obedient to her laws."

Christianity deepened and ennobled the old pagan virtue. Perhaps the Christian virtue of Patriotism has never been so wonderfully expressed than in the personality of the great Scots patriot, Fletcher of Saltoun, of whom the Scottish historian McKay wrote:

"He would lay down his life for his country, but would not do a base thing to save it."

Fletcher himself put the matter succinctly:

"Show me a true patriot and I will show you a lover not merely of his own country, but of all mankind. Show me a spurious patriot, a bombastic fire-eater, and I will show you a rascal. Show me a man who loves other countries equally with his own and I will show you a man entirely deficient in a sense of proportion. But show me a man who respects the rights of all nations, while ready to

defend the rights of his own against them all, and I will show you a man who is both a nationalist and an internationalist."

Fletcher's words were echoed long after by His Holiness Pope Pius XII in his *Summa Pontificatus* of October 20th, 1939:

"It is well to insist here that this sense of universal brotherhood, which Christian teaching awakens and keeps alive in our minds, is not opposed to the love of a man's own country and the glorious memories it has for him . . . This same Christian teaching assures us that God has established an order of charity which binds us to love better and to cherish more those who are bound to us by special ties."

The Italian patriot, Garibaldi, is reputed to have said "The thought of Italy is like the memory of our first love," and this tells us much of the personal meaning of patriotism. The thought of our native country is perhaps not of that country in general, not of an abstract thing, but of some particular place or happening. A moment of youth remembered, a particular lane, a memory of those ever dearest, or of a song or phrase in the native tongue that carried our heart with it. According to the measure of our love for such a special place or thing is our love of the greater thing which is our native land.

In the work-a-day life of the world men are not, and cannot be, on top "doh" every day and in all seasons. There is a rhythm to love as to everything else, and a rhythm to our affection for our native country. The peaks of this rhythm are, always and everywhere, arranged socially. This is the meaning of National Days. Such days may be many; in America, for instance, there is both Independence Day and Thanksgiving Day. In a long history there are days once joyfully celebrated which have since faded to mere memories to be replaced by others. So in England we remember only as names "Oak Leaf Day" and even "Trafalgar Day." Armistice Day is still a National Day, but one which is sombre in mood and memory. In all countries however, provided that they have not lost their nationhood, there is usually one day which is not associated with a particular episode or concrete event, but a day which embraces the whole nation as an entity, and this is *the* National Day. In the Christian West it was and is inevitably the Feast Day of the Patron Saint.

Focal Point Of Hope

For England that day is St. George's Day. Perhaps no saint so expresses the National aspirations to courage, civility, honour and martial art. It is on Saint George's Day that the spirit of National fellowship and goodwill ought to break out in celebration, as it did on Jubilee Day and Coronation Day, on the day of the Royal Wedding, or most recently when the Fleet returned from war. It is not the day of a party nor of an interest, but the day of the Nation. That its celebration has become but a memory is England's loss, and without doubt it cannot be revived without the official sanction of a National Holiday. One thing is certain and that is that England will be weaker without this focal point of National affection and National hope. If no full revival is possible without a lead from Parliament, this does not mean that there is no role for individual initiatives. Everyone can begin by celebrating the day themselves and by invoking the aid of our Martyr-Patron.

Saint George, honoured throughout the Christian world, but particularly in the Orthodox churches, is especially invoked as the aider of the hopeless. In the West he is Champion Knight of Christendom; in the East he is The Great Martyr and The Trophy Bearer. He is honoured as Captain of the Noble Army of Martyrs, as Patron of Cavalry and Patron of Youth: in Greece he is patron of agriculture and in his native Lebanon is healer of lunacy. Even Mohammedans honour St. George. The great basilica which Constantine raised on the site of his martyrdom is to-day the "Mosque of St. George." It is noteworthy that he is patron saint of the marcher lands of Christendom, of Georgia in Russia, of Catalonia in Spain, and of England.

Who is so optimistic as to say that the curer of lunacy, the patron of good husbandry and the help of the hopeless has no relevance to England today?

WANTED: "Instauration", nos. 1 (December 1975) to 48 (November 1979). Please write to The Advertiser ("Instauration"), Candour Publishing Co., Forest House, Liss Forest, Hampshire.

OPEN SESAME is an anthology of the poems by B. E. Biggs that have been published in "Candour" over the past fifteen years. Only £1 from Candour Publishing Co.

A DAY TO REMEMBER

Did dragon leave a vapour
The English ways to stain,
Was St. George's ancient caper
After all, in vain?
The smothering of taper
Leaves a pungent aftermath!
Does something of the dragon
Yet sully England's path?

Is the Welfare State so pretty,
Are the natives filled with pride
To be in a community
For usury's provide —
The sword of their sovereignty
Re-burned, made malleable!
There is no sign of dragon
For eyes cocooned in wool.

Christian England's noble knight
Inspiration, latter days
The Holy Cross on banner white
Truth to reappraise.
Beauty put the Beast to flight
The spirit of St. George be heard,
Wear the rose, the dragon slay,
Acclaim April Twenty-Third.

JANUARY 31st, 1983

Denigrate, legislate, and ultimately
Eradicate all routes to nobility;
Butterflies' wings which persistently hone
Like feet of the pilgrims will wear away stone.

"Freedom of choice" just a piece of claptrap
John Bull now confined with vehicular strap
Thrust in a helmet if he mounts motor-bike
Unless his head swells with a turban, Sikh-like.

Invaded, persuaded and by-the-nose led
Inhibited 'til he is loaded with dread
Little by little, O Eric the shame
Auto-suggestion, the name of the game.

He has no tenets by which he can score
From religious loop-hole within the law;
Whilst freedom, alas, has another mishap
We'll all rest assured under that dreaded strap.

B. E. BIGGS.

MONEY, ENERGY, FOOD—AND ONE WORLD

by DON BELL

When the world's Power Brokers plan and execute a great crisis, one such as we are presently experiencing, besides the usual effects of depression, two things usually occur: The closure of smaller, independent community banks, and the foreclosure of mortgages on family farms. It happened in the 1930s, when there was planned the transformation of our political and economic systems, and a New National Order was established. It is happening now as a New Economic World Order is being established. John T. Flynn wrote of the time when Herbert Hoover had been selected to be President as the crisis was developed, and how his successor, Franklin Delano Roosevelt, was selected to bring about the New Political and Economic Order which was given the name New Deal. In his book "The Roosevelt Myth," Flynn wrote:

"Every hour brought news of new bank closings — from Texas, Arizona, Oregon, Washington, Wisconsin — bringing to 24 the number of states which had closed their banks . . . In cities all over the country crowds stood outside closed banks looking woefully through their grated windows. Farmers were in revolt. They had been intimidating judges, dumping wheat out of overturned trucks into ditches. The fires were out in many factory furnaces. Millions of men were idle. All over the land millions of people turned their faces towards Washington to see what the handsome, smiling new President would do to stem the tide of the disaster.

"Economic paralysis lay all about. The arch-villain in this catastrophe was discernible to most people . . . And now he was on his way out to the accompaniment of the glee of his enemies and detractors. Most of his aides and subalterns were gone or waiting to surrender to their successors. In their place came the procession of the righteous captains of the New Deal — Frankfurter and Hull and Henry Wallace and Henry Morgenthau, Moley and Tugwell and Sam Rosenman and Berie and Harry Hopkins and Eleanor Roosevelt and scores of others whose names would soon fill the ears of the nation . . ."

We are not suggesting with certainty that, as Hoover was selected to be the villain of the crisis whose result was to be the New Deal, Reagan has been selected as the Villain in a crisis whose result will be an established New Economic World Order. Nor that things will get as bad in the '80s as they were in the '30s. Today we have unemployment

compensation, food stamps, bank deposit insurance, etc. But, those two signs which we mentioned are with us once again. There have been an unprecedented number of bank closings. In an article headlined "World Banking in Deep Trouble," editorial writer Robert Rankin belies the headline by noting that "The major international banks likely will juggle their shifting accounts without collapsing. But more than 1,500 U.S. banks own part of the syndicated debts spread throughout Latin America alone . . . the smaller banks might fold under the strain of delayed repayments."

In addition to the bank closures, mortgage foreclosures also have begun . . . In January over 200 farmers stormed the courthouse in Springfield, Colo. demanding that farm foreclosures be stopped. And in Grand Rapids, Mich., according to AP, "Members of a recently formed state farm association asked a federal judge to block the Farmers Home Administration from foreclosing delinquent farm loans . . . Fifty percent of the farmers in Michigan are in trouble . . ."

Manufactured Crises

But to say that *all* owners of farms and *all* bankers are in trouble is to miss the point. It is the smaller, community bank that is in danger of closing, and the independent family farmer who fears foreclosure. In the case of bankers, an excellent description of how the megabankers have planned the present crisis, and *saved themselves from its results* was written by Allen Keyte, editor of *World Affairs Review*. He writes:

"Rep. Ron Paul recently said, 'our government is in a state of collapse.' He's right and let me give you the reasons. (1) The FRB, controlled by David Rockefeller's Council on Foreign Relations and his Trilateral Commission, in league with other major banks, is implementing a controlled monetary collapse. (2) the FRB has agreed to bail out the \$1.7 trillion Euromarket created by the Swiss and British bankers. If this isn't staggering enough they also, through the Monetary Control Act, will bail out the major world banks' debts of \$850bn to the third world and communist countries . . . Every third world and communist country will be in default on its debt. Mexico will be followed by Argentina, Brazil, Peru, Columbia, Zaire, Zambia, Tanzania, then Poland, Romania, Yugoslavia and perhaps even

Canada. There are scores of countries technically in default now. Its only a matter of taking them one at a time in order to stop the whole house of cards from collapsing. *The Monetary Control Act of 1980 guarantees this!* This act is the most inflationary piece of legislation ever passed. It allows the Fed. to bail out the world. Not only can the Fed. monetize the Eurodollar debt and the debts of other nations, but it can also monetize Municipal Bond debt. Since enactment of this legislation it has been used 70 times for foreign debt purchase. They just keep it quiet. You don't need to know . . . Can you believe the *Omnibus Banking Bill* was passed by a voice vote at 10.30 at night? Just as the Federal Reserve Act was in 1913. This bill allows the government insuring agencies, FDIC and FSLIC, to bail out any company which controls or contemplates controlling a bank or savings and loan. Guess who supplies the cash? The FED. That means, that in times of emergency the FED has the power to force mergers and takeovers of other financial institutions. This will allow the major Trilateralist-CFR banks such as Citibank, Chase Manhattan, Manufacturers Hanover, etc. to pick up all the small banks and savings and loans in the country for 25 cents on the dollar, under the guise of a financial emergency which they in fact created themselves."

Monetary control is but one part of the overall conspiracy. A second basic element is the monopolistic control of energy . . . Multinational corporations whose interlocking directorates connect them with the major international banks are said to control over 40% of the world's oil flow. In his book *Wealth For All*, R. E. McMaster, jr. notes that ARAMCO and Exxon (Rockefeller connected) monopolize the distribution of oil worldwide. "For example, out of the 8.5 million barrels of oil a day produced by Saudi Arabia, the Arabian American Oil Company (ARAMCO) is responsible for marketing 6.5 million barrels. ARAMCO is made up of Texaco, Exxon, Mobil and Standard Oil of California. Now we know who really got rich on the skyrocketing price of oil in the 1970s. Back in 1970, the top eight major oil companies controlled 58.1 percent of the refining capacity domestically . . . What we find is that "Big Oil," "Big Banking," and "Big Government" all benefit from high oil prices." Mr. McMaster injects a personal observation which tells the story in few words: "When this writer was undergoing T-38 instructor pilot training at Tyndall Air Force Base, Florida, in our class were many Air Force jet fighter pilots who had just returned from the Vietnam War. They openly discussed how they

were not allowed to bomb the oil supplies which came down the Ho Chi Minh Trail. They further commented on how the oil refinery located in North Vietnam was off limits as a strategic target. I found this quite strange at the time . . . The refinery was/is owned by New York banking interests."

"Protein Gold"

So, with their monopoly of money developing apace and at our expense, and with control of oil well in hand (and the development of nuclear power stalled when not controlled by the multinationalists), the next item on the New economic World Order planners' agenda is the control of food. This is where the foreclosure of mortgages on family owned and operated farms become important. Of course, much had gone on before this present crisis was brought to a head. Slowly but surely the multinationals had been taking over America's agriculture production. And the independent farmers that are left are already at the mercy of the multinationals in several ways. Multinationals control the production of herbicides and fertilizers, seeds and motorized irrigation systems. They have been discouraged from using organic farming techniques.

Multinational business dominates world grain trade. There are said to be five multinational corporations that control the grain trade: Continental Grain, Dreyfus, Andre, Bunge, and Cargill. Cargill and Continental are said to handle better than 50 percent of U.S. exported grain. So develops the monopoly. And Gary North in his *Remnant Review* has exposed how the multinationals are buying up the seed businesses. Gary discovered that not only have the multinational agricultural corporations been buying us the old, small, family-operated seed companies; they have "canned" the seeds that reproduce, and replaced them with sterile hybrid seeds. The farmers can't plant what they grow, they must buy a new crop of seeds for planting each year from the multinationals. The small seed companies were put out of business through bureaucratic labelling requirements fostered by the federal government. So smothered with government regulation, they were forced to sell, and Cargill, ITT, Purex and Atlantic Richfield were eager to buy. Again quoting McMaster: "There is a battle underway for control of agricultural land now. The small farmers are losing in a big way. Two-thirds of this country's food supply is now produced by only 10 percent of this nation's farms. The actual number of farms have declined 54 percent since 1945. Approximately a third of today's U.S. farms are so small that their annual sales are less than \$2,500 . . . Over

50 percent of all farms are operated by partial owners . . . In summary, the stage is set as never before in history for the world's great 'protein gold' cartel. The big question is, *Who will run this ultimate cartel?* Multinational business is rapidly gaining the upper hand.

"It's power that's at stake here, just like power and resulting wealth is/was at issue over the control of oil. Control of food is ultimate control . . . The United States, Canada, Australia and Argentina control 80 to 90 percent of all the world's grain trade. If that doesn't hold the potential for a 'protein gold' cartel, what does?" . . . The U.S. multinationals' growing control of agriculture is similar to the large multinationals' dominance in the oil industry (which in turn are dominated by or allied with the international bankers — Ed.) . . . In Australia, wheat is a primary export. The Australian Wheat Board rules supreme down there . . . The noises that Chase Manhattan has been making about investment in Australia speak loud and clear . . . When David Rockefeller recently took his Chase Manhattan Advisory Committee to Australia . . . Henry Kissinger went along in the entourage. America's old Soviet ally, Armand Hammer, has also been visiting and aggressively promoting Australia recently . . . Guess who, in April 1981, took the directors of Chase Manhattan to Argentina? None other than David Rockefeller (was war with Britain over the Falklands a part of the game? — Ed.) Due to the social, political and economic (and militant) turmoil in recent years, multinational banking and corporate interests (multinational debt capitalism) is rapidly gaining control of Argentina. No one else has the bucks or clout to finance that critical agricultural country. And, in today's world, control of finance is political and economic control . . ."

Thus do the developers of the New World Order weave their web. Control of what we are taught to call money, which brings political and economic control. Then control of energy, which means industrial and even social control, and finally control of agricultural production, which is vital to life itself. We are reminded that "the love of money is the root of all evil"; that "in-the-sweat of thy face shalt thou eat bread," and the final command: "Man shall not live by bread alone." Within those three phrases from Scripture rests the real message.

Don Bell edits a weekly newsletter called *Don Bell Reports*, which is obtainable from P.O. Box 2223, Palm Beach, Florida 33480, U.S.A. for 40 dollars a year by airmail.

LABOUR'S PROMISCUOUS TENDENCY

Not content with lavishing thousands of pounds of rate-payers money on a "London Irish Gay Group", on setting up a "Homosexual Centre" and on providing a "helpline" telephone service for queers, the sexual odd-balls who have infiltrated and now exercise influence within the Labour Party in London have turned their attention to the family. The following extracts, quoted by Peter Simple in the *Daily Telegraph*, are from an article called "Fatherhood, the Family and My Right to a Baby" by Ann Flowers (of Brent South Labour Party).

Flowers tells how "after trying for a child for four years without success, it was discovered after tests that my partner Graham, with whom I live, could not biologically father a child." The choice for these two was between adoption and "artificial insemination by donor." They concluded that "love and commitment to children was not something biologically determined but would come with the day-to-day responsibility of childcare. As I wanted the experience of actually carrying and giving birth to a child, we opted for A.I.D." What? And miss the opportunity of adopting a little coloured boy or girl?

A.I.D. did not work. "Then," says Flowers, "I began an additional relationship with another man . . . my choice was whether to get pregnant through A.I.D. or this other man. I decided on both methods with the encouragement of Graham, my other partner and his wife, with whom I discussed the matter . . . After some consideration I decided to . . . risk becoming pregnant in circumstances in which the biological father would definitely be my other partner . . . Success! I became pregnant again.

"I am now three months pregnant. I still have friends and comrades telling me about their doubts: 'Wouldn't it be difficult for Graham, knowing who the real biological father was?' We don't think so. For Graham will be the social father . . . the biological father will, I hope, also be involved but to a more limited degree, because he had a wife, child and commitments of his own (he is secretary of his Labour Party branch, after all) . . . I do not think the responsibility for childcare should stop with just two parents . . . I think we can take the first steps in extending our support structure by beginning to break the divisions between family units so that we can start to collectivise not only children but the whole of our social existence . . ."

Comrades-in-bed as well as comrades-in-arms?

ST. JOAN'S TINY BAND

Daring exploits such as the seizure of the United Nations flag on Tower Hill or the running campaign of protest throughout Bulganin and Khrushchev's visit to Britain led to a marked growth in the membership of the League of Empire Loyalists. Only a small proportion, however, were prepared to be active. A. K. Chesterton lamented at the 1956 A.G.M. that those Loyalists who were prepared to fight were "a tiny band". The problem seemed to be that a lot of the recruits, while accepting the League's case, failed to see the necessity for its style. *Candour* reported that some were "plainly petrified" of engaging in activities involving an element of panache, while others apparently wanted to remodel the organisation as a Tory pressure group that would be careful not to incur too much Central Office displeasure.

A.K. maintained a 'take-it-or-leave-it' air to his critics within the movement. He risked losing potentially large support precisely because he never intended the League to be a mass movement. Rather, he sought to build a thinking, articulate, politically active elite, fired by missionary zeal, for it is always the dedicated minority that sustains any cause. In 1957, a figure of 10,000 members was suggested as the ideal size. As this would lead inevitably to a David and Goliath conflict with the established political parties, the League's real strength was seen as lying not in the possession of a mass membership but in "the spirit and resoluteness of individual men and women". A.K. described his followers as the spiritual heirs of the warrior dead of the Empire.

Branches And Defections

The elite was very much based in London. Major activities carried out away from the capital were usually the result of a foray by a headquarters team. Apart from a few instances, the original notion of action emanating from the movement's rank-and-file was still-born. However, provincial branches of the League did exist. In its first few years, groups were established in places like Preston, Liverpool, Leeds, Sheffield, Bradford, Manchester and Birmingham, as well as on the South Coast and in the West Country. Regional organisation received a boost when John Bean and Colin Jordan joined the League in late 1955 and became North of England and Midlands organisers respectively. John Bean built up a group in his home town of Hull (later, he moved south to become

secretary of the London branch) and both men spurred members in their areas to attend opposition meetings and heckle. Colin Jordan quietly resigned from the League at the end of 1956 after a difference of opinion with A.K. over his belief that membership should be restricted to White Gentile Britons. A.K.'s view had been that "loyal coloured subjects of the Empire", as he put it, should be eligible to join what was intended to be an Empire-wide movement.

In September 1956, six of the nine committee members of Liss Young Conservatives in Hampshire resigned their posts and party membership, and joined the L.E.L. as a protest at their party's scuttling of the Empire. Among them was Rosine de Bouneville. Their action underlined how the League was increasingly abandoning the idea of being able to influence Conservative policy from within. A branch was formed at nearby Petersfield after an address by Austen Brooks and soon it spawned a companion group at Portsmouth. The hope was that Liss Young Tories' action would spark off similar revolts to Government policy within Conservative Associations and a leaflet was distributed at the 1956 party conference high-lighting their no-confidence vote in the internationalist policies of Sir Anthony Eden. But it made little impression, apart from two expressions of interest and support: Leslie Greene was invited to speak to the Lancaster Women's Conservative Association in October and the chairman of the Girton Association joined the League's mainly under-graduate Cambridge branch. Girton Young Tories followed his example in February 1958 and joined the L.E.L. *en bloc* over the issue of Common Market membership.

A number of traditionalist Tories, including some financial backers, deserted the League at the time of the Suez crisis because of A.K.'s refusal to join in their hero-worship of Eden. Having so foolishly withdrawn troops from the Canal Zone in June 1956, he argued that the Prime Minister should have ordered the bombing of both the Egyptians and the Israelis and the re-capture of the Canal to ensure that it remained under permanent British control. Eden's action in having returned troops as the ally and spearhead of the Israelis, and his subsequent offer of a ceasefire and withdrawal under U.N. auspices, dealt a "staggering blow" to British influence and prestige. Accordingly, the League burnt effigies of Nasser and David Ben-Gurion on

November 5th in Trafalgar Square and attempted to present one of Eden to the Israeli Embassy. Government ministers' public meetings were vigorously heckled and when the Prime Minister returned from a Christmas break in Jamaica, he was greeted by Loyalist broadcasts of "Hail Eden, see the conquering Jellyfish comes". Placards asked: "Dear Santa Claus, please give Eden a backbone for Christmas."

Their lack of stomach for the League's incisive viewpoint on Suez and many other matters, and fear of the opprobrium attached by the establishment to I.E.L. membership, were very likely the main factors that led to so few people of wealth or public position supporting A.K.'s crusade for imperial survival. Only two councillors were recorded as joining: Guy Collis in Leicester and Joseph Holden in Preston. Likewise, there were few clergymen, although the Right Reverend McGrath, the Archbishop of Cardiff, was a member. A "Call to the Christian Churches" in *Candour* in January 1957 drew little response to take up the fight against world government and the dissolution of Empire. As for writers, only J.R.R. Tolkien uttered any words of support.

A little more success was had in attracting ex-officers and even a few aristocrats. A.K. grabbed many of them for inclusion on the League's National Council! This was a figure-head grouping designed to add to the movement's prestige, as its management was undertaken by the Executive and Policy Committees. In December 1955, League notables included the Earls of Buchan and Norbury, General Sir Hubert Gough, Major-General P. J. Mackesy, Lt. Cols. Jock Creagh-Scott and David Fraser-Harris, Air Commodore Graham Oddie, Wing-Commander Leonard Young and Captain Arthur Rogers. A new list of National Council members appeared after March 1956 with the additional names of Field Marshal Lord Ironside of Archangel and of Ironside (a former Chief of Imperial General Staff till Dunkirk and C-in-C. Home Forces), Lt. Col. T. B. Butt and Sir Richard Palmer (the Governor and C-in-C. of Cyprus, 1933-39). Representatives of the Dominions and Colonies, a fair number also ex-officers, were included, necessitating a change of title to General Council at the 1956 A.G.M. In 1957, Major General Richard Hilton and Lt. General Sir Balfour Hutchinson joined. Ex-officers chaired some League branches, such as Liverpool and Manchester, and at the 1958 *Candour* dinner Admiral Sir Barry Domville was guest speaker.

The Battle Of Lewisham

In February 1957 the League fought its first election campaign when Leslie Greene contested the

North Lewisham by-election as an *Independent Loyalist*. Dislike of the party system, with the power wielded by the whips and the stifling of individual members' opinions, was a favourite League theme. The non-party voice had been heard increasingly rarely since 1950, when the abolition of the university seats slew five independents at a stroke. Indeed, only one of the twenty-five who had stood for election in the previous ten years had saved his deposit. Leslie had her faith in herself and in the League's policies to give her encouragement, plus about forty canvassers to cover the 53,000 voters. Ranged against her were two candidates with formidably powerful party organisations. Both boasted strong memberships in the constituency, but still drafted in large reinforcements in a supreme effort to mobilise every possible vote. North Lewisham was a marginal seat with a Conservative majority of just over 3,000 and there had been a swing of some seven per cent against the Government at the previous by-election.

Leslie Greene's election address was a passionate expression of Loyalist principles — the Sunday Times described her as a 'political Boadicea riding the chariot of Empire unity'. She stuck very much to imperial affairs in her speeches at her six election meetings, as well as in candidates' forums in newspapers. The daily public meetings of the Tory and Labour candidates, predictably, were used to propagate League views and gain press publicity. Some of the richest Parliamentary blood in the country was pumped into the division, resulting in national coverage of their speeches.

Whatever critics thought of the League's case, Leslie Greene herself impressed a lot of people. The initial disposition to treat her candidature as a frivolous intervention turned to compliments for her able speaking and charming personality. Henry Fairlie of the *Daily Mail* thought her the captor of the hearts of almost every male political journalist, while Randolph Churchill felt she was "incomparably the best candidate". *The Times* considered her the "most workmanlike of candidates". But the plaudits of Fleet Street could not chisel the electors of North Lewisham out of their party political moulds to any great degree, for on polling day Leslie gained just 1,487 votes (four per cent of the poll) and lost her deposit. The seat was won by Neill MacDermott for the Labour Party with a majority of 1,110. Some commentators thought that Leslie had lost the contest for the Tory candidate by siphoning off support from right-wing Conservatives. Loyalists themselves questioned the assumption that she had relied heavily on dissident Tories. Elizabeth,

Lady Freeman found during her considerable time canvassing little or no sympathy from Conservatives, but genuine interest and promises of support among former Labour voters.

The cost of Leslie Greene's candidature was considered money well spent in view of the widespread publicity gained. Over £1,000 was raised in donations, including fifty shillings from a Romford dustman for "this modern Joan of Arc". The extension of the League's campaign to other marginal by-elections seemed an obvious course of action and Austen Brooks announced that there would be more Independent Loyalist candidates. The prospect of a League opponent might even have influenced Tory candidates in the views they put forward, though to become a credible threat the Loyalist percentage of the vote would have had to increase. In subsequent by-elections, however, the movement confined itself

to heckling. A. K. Chesterton apparently did not believe the effort of mounting such an intense campaign as had supported Leslie Greene could be raised again so soon. But at least North Lewisham was bequeathed a thriving Empire Loyalist branch.

NEXT ISSUE: A Series Of Trumpet Calls

A. K. SPEAKS

A C.60 cassette tape of A. K. Chesterton speaking on the menace of International Finance.

Introduced by Leslie Greene

PRICE £3.00 (£5.00 Overseas)

**Candour Publishing Company,
Forest House, Liss Forest, Hampshire.**

WHY ARE WE STRENGTHENING THE SOVIET ECONOMY?

The late Leonid Brezhnev told a meeting of the Warsaw Pact leaders in Prague in 1977 that detente was, from a Soviet perspective, a means of lulling the West into a false sense of security while the Soviets acquired from the West the technology that would make possible Soviet military domination of the world by the mid-1980s. *We were told* that the premise of detente was that the more we traded with the Soviet Union, the more dependent their economy would become on ours. But the debate over the Polish loans shows precisely the opposite has happened.

Instead of making the Soviets more dependent on the West, our trade policies have given them an entirely new weapon with which to threaten our security. The truth is summarised by an old Polish proverb which says, "If you owe a thousand zlotys, the bank owns you. But if you owe a million zlotys, you own the bank." Most trade with the Communist bloc is financed by loans from Western banks, often at absurdly low interest rates *guaranteed* by Western governments. By the end of 1980 Soviet bloc nations owed 70 billion dollars to the West — about four times what we were lent under the Marshall Plan to revive Western Europe at the end of World War II.

If this debt is permitted to rise to 120-140 billion dollars by 1985, the U.S.S.R. would be able to undermine the entire financial structure of the West merely by doing what they have done before — repudiate the debt. Unfortunately, the banks, including our own, will not immediately write off the Communist bad debts and cease lending more. But if Eastern bloc debts rise, then a Communist repudiation could cost millions of Americans and Europeans their life savings and ultimately their freedom.

In the last ten years alone, the U.S.A. and other Western states have sold to the Soviet Union and its satellites more than 50 billion dollars, worth of sophisticated technical equipment that the Communists could not produce themselves. This has been used to produce nuclear missiles, tanks, military command and control systems, spy satellites and air defence radars. In addition, the Soviets have been able to purchase entire factories, designed and built by Western engineers. The production of these factories is devoted to the manufacture of military transport, ammunition and other logistical items for the Soviet war machine.

It is difficult to over-state the extent to which the West has contributed to the military threat that now endangers our very existence. Yet in the *Soviet Weekly* for October 2nd and 9th of last year, Trade Minister Peter Rees was reported as signing an agreement that will facilitate sales of British machinery to Soviet chemical, oil, gas food and motor industries. It will also boost imports of Soviet goods that are likely to undermine further British industry. Mr. Rees was accompanied by leading figures in British industry representing John Brown, Royce, G.E.C., Metal Box and the merchant bankers Morgan Grenfell.

Morally, such trade is treason, even though not legally so at present. It should be stopped as it is not justified on *any* ground, whether it be jobs or solving the balance of payments problem.

R. V. MUMMERY.

A UNIQUE FORM OF TYRANNY?

by ROBERT HUXTABLE

The fiftieth anniversary of Adolf Hitler coming to power has been marked by a spate of articles and television programmes of all kinds as if he and the Nazi regime were some strange and unique phenomenon which the world has never seen before or since.

It is not the desire of this writer to defend the deeds of Hitler and his associates. The Third Reich was essentially pagan in outlook and condemned as such in 1937 by Pope Pius XI in the encyclical *Mit Brennender Sorge* (*With Burning Sorrow*). This Papal pronouncement was given considerable publicity at the time in the secular press; the other encyclical of the same year, *Divini Redemptoris*, was given very little. In this latter, the Pope bluntly stated that Communism was intrinsically evil and no Christian could lawfully collaborate with it in any way whatsoever. The history of the Nazi and Communist empires bears out the saying of Thomas Jefferson after the American War of Independence: "Those nations that refuse to be governed by Almighty God will end up being governed by tyrants."

Selective Indignation

It is, however, worth recalling some salient historical facts. Without any corroborative evidence, the Nazis are accused of provoking a terrible war and exterminating six million Jews. Their crimes are described and execrated in numerous books, films and television documentaries; Auschwitz and Belsen are names of horror, a supreme example of man's inhumanity to man. On the other hand, Communism, which in Russia and China alone has resulted in the deaths of at least sixty to one hundred million people, has achieved nothing like the same moral impact, the same sense of grief and horror.

What is more, whereas Nazi totalitarianism was buried in bloodstained ruin thirty-eight years ago, Communism still flourishes and holds more than one-third of the world in bondage. In the fairly recent past there were massive world-wide demonstrations against American intervention in Vietnam, in favour of "peace" and against "imperialism". Today, 300,000 Vietnamese are in Communist re-education camps and one sixth of the population of Cambodia has been wiped out by the Khmer Rouge. We have seen the flight of the "Boat People" from Vietnam,

but no demonstrations on their behalf, no clergy displaying bleeding hearts for them. The silence has been deafening.

The double standards involved are breath-taking, but it would be wrong to state they are entirely due to hypocrisy. Certainly, the fellow travellers in our midst (the Antony Blunts who have not been found out) have their full share of this, but such an attitude is also due in part to lack of clear thinking. There are two prevalent fallacies. The first is that Communism, *as a theory*, is for the good of mankind and that the horrors of Soviet rule are but a distortion of Communist ideals. Following this, so the argument runs, we have only to be kind to the Russians and they will ultimately be prepared to be kind to us. It is this attitude that provoked so much opposition to the erection of a monument to the Polish officers murdered by the Russians in the Katyn Forest in 1941 — opposition voiced by a number of clergy outside the usual predictable list of left-wing clerics to whom reference has already been made. After all, as they say, the Russians were fighting Germany as we were; let us forget about this tragic incident.

The second fallacy is that racial oppression is, somehow, inherently more evil than any other. Thus it was considered right and just to become indignant about Rhodesia under White rule and about South Africa at the present day (which, of course, in the eyes of all true liberals is the epitome of all evil, just as Hitler was fifty years ago). It is correspondingly easy to turn a blind eye to the happenings in the pseudo-states of Black Africa — the forced collectivisation in Tanzania, the plight of Uganda, the wholesale bribery and corruption everywhere, the Cuban take-over of Angola and so on. The Russian invasion of Afghanistan has provoked no marches, demonstrations or questions in Parliament. Where are the voices of the Worlocks, the Sheppards and the Sopers denouncing this? It shows how their moral judgement is highly selective.

At the time of writing two million blacks are being expelled from Nigeria in circumstances of utmost brutality. Of course, any country has the right to expel foreign nationals who have entered it illegally, but Nigeria, so vehement a critic of South Africa, has behaved in a manner she would find objectionable in others. It was not a pleasant sight

to see the unfortunate Ghanaians being driven by whips into lorries.

In short, if we are to condemn evil, condemn it impartially no matter who perpetrates it, including ourselves. Germany was not the only nation guilty of war crimes. The brutality of the Russians has only been gradually admitted since the war, but — without echoing the cry of Peter Simple's Dr. Kiosk: "We are all guilty" — it must be realised that *our* hands are not clean.

Terror Bombing

In March 1942 the War Cabinet accepted the plan laid before it by Professor Lindemann by which top priority for air attack was, in future, to be given to working class houses in densely populated residential areas of German cities. This policy of terror bombing was kept a closely guarded secret for nearly twenty years until it was revealed in 1961 in a little book called *Science and Government* by the physicist Sir Charles Shaw. The eminent military critic, Captain Liddell-Hart, in a book entitled *The Evolution of Warfare* published in 1946, had an inkling of the truth when he declared that victory had been achieved "through practising the most uncivilised means of warfare the world had known since the Mongol devastations."

The adoption of terror bombing led, through the destruction of Hamburg, Berlin and Dresden, to the dropping of the atomic bomb on Hiroshima and Nagasaki by our American allies. Poland and Eastern Europe were betrayed at the war-time conferences at Teheran and Yalta which Victor Gollancz said would be regarded by future generations as treaties of infamy. The Jewish founder of the Left Book Club can hardly be regarded as a Nazi sympathiser. When the war ended, thousands of Russian refugees were deceived and handed back to certain death at the hands of Stalin and his minions.

Whilst, therefore, condemning the crimes of Hitler and his associates, let us reflect a little on the actions of our own wartime government. People who live in glass-houses do tend to dress in the dark, but when they throw stones *all* is revealed!

Large collection of second-hand books and journals for sale on topics of interest to nationalists. Send a stamped addressed envelope for titles and price to: The Candour Library, Forest House, Liss iForest, Hampshire GU33 7DD.

WHO HAS THE POWER?

"Vital portions of the Churchill files on Anglo-German relations have been sealed until the 21st century. In the Public Record Office some of Chamberlain's papers dealing with the events in 1939 have been sealed until 1990; and the Foreign Office file, F.O. 37122985 will be unavailable to historians until 2015."

In war we see the finest men
Advance the ship, the tank and plane
Whilst those who activated them
Far from the battle front remain.
Who are they who have the power,
(Remaining unexposed by guile)
To choose the foe, prepare the hour,
Their records sealed in secret file?
They censured him with too much ease,
(And made his image so depised)
In search of peace who did appease;
When faced with war had compromised.
And why should he remain unseen,
Who may have to the soldier lied?
(Some 'hero' held in false esteem)
His deeds so care'ly classified.
The chairborn 'patriots' who plan
The aftermath, when guns are mute,
Who seemingly so easily can
Conceal their acts beyond dispute.
ROWLAND OGWYN.

FLAUNTING PERVERSION

The Rev. Harry Williamson — the former Dean at Trinity College, Cambridge, whom Prince Charles befriended as an undergraduate and who later officiated briefly at the Prince's wedding — has written some rather startling memoirs, according to Peter Tory of the *Daily Mirror*. For he admits in fairly graphic terms in his newly published autobiography, *Some Day I'll Find You*, to a succession of homosexual affairs whilst at Cambridge. "It became less and less obvious to me that sleeping with somebody, which for me meant another man, was necessarily, in itself, to exploit him," Williamson writes. "During the next few years I slept with several men in each case fairly regularly." The *Mirror's* Mr. Tory thanked heavens that "there is no suggestion that he had any odd designs on our future king." The question remains by whose agreement was Williamson placed in such an influential and sensitive position? We find it difficult to believe his perversion was not known before his appointment.

FREEMASONS AT WORK

by FRANCIS MURTAGH, P.C.

The following commentary on one example of Masonic subversion of the Roman Catholic Church is reproduced with acknowledgement from "Approaches", which is edited and published by Hamish Fraser, Casa Garcia Moreno, 1 Waverley Place, Saltcoats, Scotland KA21 5AX.

"We wish it to be your rule first of all to tear away the mask from Freemasonry, and to let it be seen as it really is . . . to instruct the people as to the artifices used by societies of this kind in seducing men and enticing them into their ranks, and as to the depravity of their opinions and the wickedness of their acts." (Pope Leo XIII in *Humanum Genus*, 1884).

In February 1968, Brother Harry Carr gave a lecture on *Freemasonry of the Future* to the London Grand Rank Association — a body of experienced Freemasons, all Past Masters of at least five years standing. The lecture also dealt with the Catholic Church's opposition to Freemasonry and of ways to lessen the Church's hostility to the Craft. During question time at the end of the talk one of the Masons asked: "How can you hope for accord between us and the Roman Catholic Church when the bookstall in Westminster Cathedral still sells those horrible anti-Masonic pamphlets?" Before an audience of about five hundred Masons, Brother Harry Carr promised to stop the sale by the Catholic Truth Society of anti-Masonic pamphlets. What were the pamphlets which the Freemasons objected to? It did not include Pope Leo XIII's encyclical on Freemasonry, *Humanum Genus*, first published in 1884, as this has never been readily available in Britain and has not been stocked by the Catholic Truth Society since the First World War. The pamphlets were Dr. Leslie Rumble's *Catholics and Freemasonry* and Fr. Lawrence L. McReavy's *Forbidden and Suspect Societies*, the last editions of which were published by the Catholic Truth Society in 1962. These two pamphlets are written in a straightforward style, quoting easily verifiable historical events, and expressing clearly the traditional teaching of the Church on Freemasonry and the secret societies generally.

In *Catholics and Freemasonry*, Dr. Leslie Rumble points out that in Freemasonry "ordinary members are caught by . . . their mystery loving instinct. Then they are used for policies of which they know nothing — as Masonic influence is used in this direction or that according to the practical programmes, social and political, of different leaders in different countries. And it is for this reason that the Catholic Church condemns the secrecy of Free-

masonry." Dr. Rumble reminds Catholics that "Masonic leaders possess an uncontrolled and irresponsible power subject to the scrutiny neither of the civil society in which they function, nor of any ecclesiastical authorities. This evasion of all outside supervision is most dangerous to the welfare of both State and Church." In Britain many Anglican clergymen and bishops, members of the armed forces, judiciary, police and the Royal Family are members of Masonic lodges forming to a large extent a state within a state.

The other pamphlet to which the Freemasons objected was Father McReavy's *Forbidden and Suspect Societies* which pointed out that "the condemnation passed by the Holy See is not based exclusively on the fact of subversive plotting, and is therefore not conditional on the proof of such plotting. The Church objects primarily to the secrecy which prevents her from exercising her legitimate function of vigilance, and so makes it possible for lodges to organise subversive movements under cover, as they certainly have done, and not infrequently. Moreover, as steward of the divinely revealed and supernatural religion of Christ, which all men are commanded by God to embrace, she objects to the naturalistic philosophy of life which has always been characteristic of Masonry in all its forms, and to its consequent indifferentism in regard to religious creeds."

Cardinal Heenan and Harry Carr

Brother Harry Carr wrote to the late Cardinal Heenan demanding that the pamphlets which are quoted above be removed. Carr enclosed the text of a lecture on *Freemasonry and the Roman Catholic Church* expressing his eagerness for amity between Masonry and Catholicism and asking for an appointment to discuss the whole question. Cardinal Heenan replied, arranging for a meeting on March 18th, 1968, and, in regard to each of the Catholic pamphlets on Freemasonry, the Cardinal promised that ". . . if, as I suspect, it is misleading, I shall see that it is withdrawn."

Who is Brother Harry Carr? He has been secretary of three English lodges including secretaryship of the research and study lodge Quatuor Coronati

from 1961 to 1973, and editorship of its Transactions that are circulated to about 8,000 lodges throughout the world. His lectures on Freemasonry have taken him all over England, Canada, the United States of America, South Africa, and many European countries. He is an honorary member of at least twelve lodges including lodges in Paris and New York, and the Grand Lodge of Iran. He is also a member of the Board of General Purposes of the United Grand Lodge of England from which he was due to retire in 1980. Of the first meeting with Cardinal Heenan, Brother Carr is on record as saying: "I could not have prayed for a kinder or more sympathetic reception. I first explained that, as a Jew, I had high hopes from the ecumenical movement and, as a Freemason, the evidence of wider tolerance in the Roman Catholic Church has been a source of great joy to me." Cardinal Heenan replied: "Yes, your letter to me was quite an extraordinary coincidence because I am deeply interested in the whole matter, and have been for a very long time — I shall show you a picture later on." In answer to the Cardinal's questions Brother Carr later provided an eight page typescript with a collection of official Masonic statements which were subsequently taken by Cardinal Heenan to the Holy See.

Going into another room in Archbishop's House, the Cardinal showed Carr a painting of Cardinal Manning surrounded by a group which included Lord Ripon who was Grand Master of the English United Lodge from 1870 to 1874, but who became a Catholic. He resigned as Grand Master and he was succeeded by the Future King Edward VII, then Prince of Wales. Cardinal Heenan commented to Carr; "You may not know, perhaps, that after he resigned he used to say that throughout his career in Freemasonry he had never heard a single word uttered against Altar or Throne. Those words have always remained strong in my memory and so you can understand how eager I am to help." Cardinal Heenan, a few weeks later, gave another interview to Brother Carr who was later accompanied by an unnamed senior Grand Officer of the United Lodge of England. The Cardinal was on the eve of going to Rome to discuss Freemasonry "at the highest level."

On April 26th, 1971, Cardinal Heenan and Brother Carr met again. The Cardinal told Carr that a parish priest in the Eltham area had asked for guidance about a Protestant in his parish, married to a Catholic woman, their children having all been brought up as Catholics. The husband, a Freemason, to please his wife, wished to be received into the

Catholic Church but did not wish to give up his membership of Freemasonry. The parish priest spoke very highly of the husband and wife. Cardinal Heenan was delighted in being able to tell Carr that the husband had been received into the Church "without restriction". This means he did not give up his commitment to Freemasonry or active participation in lodge activities, while calling himself a Catholic. Within a few weeks a Masonic friend of the husband in the same parish, and in similar circumstances, was received into the Church without formally abandoning his involvement with Freemasonry.

No Reciprocity

Cardinal Heenan did not think to enquire whether the Freemasons would withdraw anti-Catholic literature from their booklists and publishers. Consequently, anti-Catholic pamphlets and books are still stocked by Masonic publishers such as A. Lewis and others today. Cardinal Heenan and the Catholic Truth Society, by enthusiastically following the representations of the Freemasons have deprived Catholics of learning about Freemasonry and papal teaching on the subject, without even demanding some reciprocity from Masonic publishers. The Cardinal and the Catholic Truth Society were willing dupes choosing to ignore the numerous exhortations of the Popes about the need to oppose Freemasonry and other secret societies. It is another example of English Catholics disarming the Church because they prefer to adhere to their private judgement and opinion instead of obeying papal authority. Many Catholics in Ireland, too, on a wide range of subjects concerning the Social Kingship of Christ, but notably in matters concerning Freemasonry and contraception, need to be reminded that in obeying the Pope — the Vicar of Christ — they are giving obedience to Christ the King.

As Pope Leo XIII makes clear in *Humanum Genus*: "We pray and conjure you, Venerable Brethren, to unite your efforts with Ours and to bring your zeal to bear on the extirpation of this foul pestilence whose poison is circulating through the arteries of the State and is threatening to corrupt it completely. You have to safeguard the glory of God and the salvation of your neighbour. Fighting for these great causes neither your determination nor your courage will fail . . . Remind them of what Our Predecessors have repeatedly affirmed, namely, that no Catholic who rightly values the profession of the Catholic faith and his eternal salvation, may, for any reason whatever, become a Freemason."

Editor's note — so influential has the Masonic lobby become at episcopal and Curial levels that the newly-published Codex of Canon Law in the Roman Catholic Church no longer automatically imposes the penalty of excommunication in respect of membership of Freemasonry. What is there now to stop the very highest positions in the Church being legally open to these secretive men, with their strange rituals, un-Christian forms of worship and monopolisation of business and other interests?

Further Reading on Freemasonry:

Humanum Genus by Leo XIII, 50p.

Freemasonry and Vatican II by Yves Dupont, 50p.

About Freemasonry and the Church

by Jędrzej Giertych, 50p.

Freemasonry and the Church by Hamish Fraser, 40p.

Freemasonry: Its Character and Purpose

by Rev. E. Cahill, S.J., 40p.

The Approchement with Freemasonry, 75p.

All titles available from *Approaches*, not Candour Publishing Co.

FACT OR FICTION?

The Booker Prize for Fiction was awarded last October to a novel about the so-called "Holocaust", which was said to be 90% true. If this then qualifies as a work of fiction, it does rather look like game, set and match to those who say the whole "Holocaust" industry is high-pressure propaganda. How strange are the sources from which confirmation of one's beliefs can come.

POOR PERFIDIOUS JEWS, PARTS I & II — The two Candour leaders for which the Jews want the Attorney-General to prosecute Rosine de Bouneville. Secure extra copies for distribution to sympathetic patriots. Only 50p. including postage from Candour Publishing Company.

FATIMA AND THE GREAT CONSPIRACY

Mrs. Deirdre Manifold's best-selling book unravels the Wall Street-Kremlin conspiracy and traces it back to its roots in the 16th century.

NOW AVAILABLE, PRICE £3, FROM CANDOUR PUBLISHING COMPANY.

THE RUIN OF RHODESIA

In nearly three years of independence, "Zimbabwe" has not been so close to plunging into the kind of strife, savagery and disaster that has marked almost every other "independent" Black state. Fr. Arthur Lewis' article in our November issue described some of the horrifying acts of intimidation and massacre that are becoming commonplace. At the beginning of February, we received a letter from a person still living in the country confirming how prevalent are chaos and disorder. It is worth repeating some of his comments:

"... the Black cannot stand criticism and I think this is due to his inherent belief that nothing that happens to him is his fault, whether good or bad. All is due to the spirits that he worships, those of his ancestors. If all is well, the spirits are favourable. If not, the spirits have to be appeased ...

Black Shoot-Out

"An incident occurred here in the police training depot last Thursday when an instructor — a Black — ordered a recruit who could not swim to swim across the swimming pool. He was drowned. The rest of the recruit squad rioted and killed the instructor. Then they broke into the armoury and started shooting. The regulars began shooting back and five deaths resulted with twenty wounded. It was an all-Black affair ...

"Mugabe is a very frightened man and everywhere he goes he has a very heavily armed escort, all trigger-happy. He goes via a different route to and from his office every day. That is when he is in the country and not globe-trotting at the country's expense ...

"The local radio and television only report on the latest spiel by the reigning political blabbermouths, telling themselves how good they are and what a bad lot the Whites are for not giving them enough money to squander ..."

Nothing, of course, will satisfy our liberals until the process of multi-racial ruin in Rhodesia initiated by the "right-wing" Mrs. Thatcher and her Foreign Office hacks has cast the country irrevocably into the pit. But so parlous is the situation even now for Whites that our correspondent dared not put his name to the letter.

RISING is a new publication discussing politico-philosophical topics. For a sample copy, send 20p. to Rising Press, BCM-Kursall, London WC1V 6XX.

LIGHT AND BITTER

by JANUS

Galtieri's Friendly Society

Somebody named Graham Davy wrote to the *Catholic Herald* to broadcast the fact that a bunch of peace fanatics in Bristol, "People for Peace", has very kindly set up a fund to help those injured or bereaved as a result of the Falklands War . . . and all the money is going to the Argentinians! Many *Candour* readers, I feel sure, will be only too anxious to despatch their cheques in aid of *such* a worthy appeal, so let me inform you that Mr. Davy's "ecumenical human rights" group may be contacted c/o the British Council of Churches (who else?). The Cathedral, Bristol BS1 5TJ. How heartening to come across such broad-based charity of spirit, especially as it's only a matter of months since those awfully decent Argies were provoked into having to mutilate and slaughter British troops for their presumptuous attempt to re-capture what they mistakenly thought was their Queen's territory.

Undying Obsession

Perhaps the forgiving peace people of Bristol should have a word in the ears of the Canadian Holocaust Remembrance Association. This gaggle of Jews is threatening publicly to denounce as Nazi war criminals at least ten men living in the Toronto area unless the Government arrests them soon. Obviously labouring under the impression that Canada is an outpost of Bolivia, they are claiming that as many as a thousand "Nazis" are holed up in the country. The thought strikes me as to what the Holocaust boys will find to occupy their troubled minds when the last geriatric Nazi has gone to his grave? Will they content themselves with playing with their train sets? Or will the sons (and grandsons and great-grandsons) inherit the sins of their fathers?

These Financial Times

Hasn't the sterling crisis caused a lot of upsets? First the pound goes up, then it goes down. Then the bank rate goes up, then down, then up again. Never, never, of course, must we doubt the financial expertise of the usurers — they know exactly what they are doing. And Churchmen have said for a long time: "Usury doesn't apply in modern conditions." Well, "modern conditions" have caught up with them with a vengeance, but by now they have *forgotten* about usury when they should be saying: "We told you so!" Indeed, the Church *can*, for as long ago as 1139 Pope Innocent II denounced usury,

as did Innocent III in 1206. The penalty for usury was excommunication.

Sense On "Abortion"

If I have heard "abortionists" (by which I mean child-murderers) say it on one occasion. I've heard them say a thousand times: "A woman has the right to do what she likes with her own body". A peer named Lord Barrington has my applause for his effective riposte to such an outrageous claim during a Lords debate in December, when he said: "The only maxim of that kind that is, I think, a more pernicious one would be that a *man* has a right to do what he likes with his own body. Of course he has not. He has not the right to rape a young girl; he has not the right to mug an old lady he has not the right to assault a referee on the football field. Of course people do these things, but they have no right to do them, the reason being that other people's bodies are concerned as well." In the same sense, a woman has no right to dispose as she wishes of the child in her womb, which is the living body, possessing a soul, of another person.

O.A.P.C. Plod

A special squad of "more mature" policemen is being drafted into Notting Hill to replace "pushy" young ones. Here is yet another "let's be matey and understanding with (certain categories!) criminals" ploy — yet another *hopeless* bid for "peace". The young coppers' ideas and methods will have been based on knowledge, not *prejudice*, as they are lads who'll have grown up with Black neighbours, gone to school with Blacks, etc. A statistic we'll never see is how many fit, unscarred men among the older police who've been sent to replace the youngsters, will long continue in that happy physical state — and how many won't. Any — if there are any — who volunteer for this transfer, of course, deserve all they've asked for!

SUBSCRIPTION RATES

Subscribe Today!

U.K.	£4.00
Overseas airmail	£4.50
U.S.A. Dollar Rate	\$10.00

Forest House, Liss Forest, Hants GU33 7DD